



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,295	07/16/2001	Kazuhito Gassho	211153US2PCT	1452
22850	7590	03/21/2006	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			SINGH, SATWANT K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/889,295

Applicant(s)

GASSHO ET AL.

Examiner

Satwant K. Singh

Art Unit

2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed on 21 February 2006.
2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed 21 February 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 9, 21, 25, and 26 under Love et al (US 5,905,852) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the final rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kayano et al. (US 5,812,747).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 19, 21, 25, 26 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kayano et al. (US 5,812,747).
6. Regarding Claim 1, Kayano et al disclose a printing system comprising a plurality of printing apparatuses (Fig. 4, copying machines 1, 2, and 3), each having a printing mechanism (Fig. 2, image forming unit D) and a buffer for spooling assigned to the printing mechanism (Fig. 2, image memory unit C), and at least one information

Art Unit: 2626

processing apparatus outputting print jobs (Fig. 2, control unit 26), which are connected mutually, each of the print jobs being sent from the information processing apparatus to the buffer included in any of the plurality of printing apparatuses and being printed by the printing mechanism by utilizing the spooling function of the printing apparatus (copying machines 2 and 3 receive the image data and store the image data in image memory means) (col. 8, lines 28-48), said printing system comprising: a source apparatus specification unit (control unit 26 controls copying conditions) (col. 4, lines 39-44) that specifies a source printing apparatus (master copying machine), which entrusts at least one print job stored in its own buffer to another printing apparatus (slave copying machine), in a preset range of printing apparatuses (copying machines 1, 2, and 3) (master copying machine sends image data read from memory means... slave copying machines receives image data from other copying machines) (col. 7, lines 20-25); and a job transfer unit (interconnected mode) that itself automatically transfers the at least one print job stored in the buffer provided in the source printing apparatus specified by said source apparatus specification unit to the buffer of another printing apparatus in the preset range of printing apparatuses (copying machine 1 sends the image data to copying machines 2 and 3 by means of image data and receiving means) (col. 8, lines 28-48).

7. Regarding Claim 4, Kayano et al disclose a printing system, wherein said source apparatus specification unit comprises: a second information acquisition unit that obtains second information representing a status of the printing mechanism of each printing apparatus in the preset range of printing apparatuses (status information

Art Unit: 2626

sending and receiving means 1J, 2J, and 3J) (col. 6, lines 46-60); and a unit that detects a printing apparatus having the printing mechanism in an error status based on the second information obtained by said second information acquisition unit, and specifies the detected printing apparatus as the source printing apparatus (jamming occurs during the allotted copying operation in copying machine 3) (col. 8, lines 49-58).

8. Regarding Claim 5, Kayano et al disclose a printing system, further comprising: a selection unit that selects a printing apparatus having the printing mechanism not in the error status in the preset range of printing apparatuses, based on the second information obtained by said second information acquisition unit (allotting copying initially allotted to copying machine to other available copying machines for recovery copying) (col. 8, lines 49-58), wherein said job transfer unit sets the printing apparatus selected by said selection unit to a destination of the transfer of the print job (copying machine 2 receives recovery information) (col. 9, lines 25-43).

9. Regarding Claim 8, Kayano et al disclose a printing system, further comprising: a job transfer information unit that informs the information processing apparatus, which is the output source of the at least one print job to be transferred by said job transfer unit, of the another printing apparatus specified as a destination of the transfer of the print job (copying machine 1 sends the selected copying machines copying conditions) (col. 8, lines 28-48).

10. Regarding Claim 9, Kayano et al disclose a printing system comprising a plurality of apparatus groups, each apparatus group comprising a plurality of printing apparatuses (Fig. 4, copying machines 1, 2, and 3), each having a printing mechanism

(Fig. 2, image forming unit D) and a buffer for spooling assigned to the printing mechanism (Fig. 2, image memory unit C), and at least one information processing apparatus outputting print jobs (Fig. 2, control unit 26), which are connected mutually, each of the print jobs being sent from the information processing apparatus to the buffer included in any of the plurality of printing apparatuses and being printed by the printing mechanism by utilizing the spooling function of the printing apparatus (copying machines 2 and 3 receive the image data and store the image data in image memory means) (col. 8, lines 28-48), said printing system comprising: a source apparatus specification unit (control unit 26 controls copying conditions) (col. 4, lines 39-44) that specifies a source printing apparatus (master copying machine), which entrusts at least one print job stored in its own buffer to another printing apparatus (slave copying machine), in a range of one certain apparatus group (copying machines 1, 2, and 3) (master copying machine sends image data read from memory means... slave copying machines receives image data from other copying machines) (col. 7, lines 20-25); and a job transfer unit (interconnected mode) that itself automatically transfers the at least one print job stored in the buffer provided in the source printing apparatus specified by said source apparatus specification unit to the buffer of another printing apparatus in a range of at least two apparatus groups, which includes at least the certain apparatus group to which the source printing apparatus belongs (copying machine 1 sends the image data to copying machines 2 and 3 by means of image data and receiving means) (col. 8, lines 28-48).

11. Claims 12, 28, and 30 are rejected for the same reason as claim 4.

Art Unit: 2626

12. Claims 19 and 25 are rejected for the same reason as claim 1.
13. Claims 21 and 26 are rejected for the same reason as claim 9.
14. Claim 29 is rejected for the same reason as claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

16. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13-18, 20, 22-24, 27, and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimakawa et al. (US 5,802,260).
17. Regarding Claim 2, Kayano et al teaches a printing system, wherein said source apparatus specification unit comprises: a first information acquisition unit that obtains first information representing a congestion status of print jobs in the buffer of each printing apparatus, which is included in the preset range of printing apparatuses among the plurality of printing apparatuses (status information sending and receiving means 1J, 2J, and 3J) (col. 6, lines 46-60).

Kayano et al fails to teach a printing system, wherein said source apparatus specification unit comprises: a unit that detects a printing apparatus having a long queue of the print jobs based on the first information obtained by said first information acquisition unit, and specifies the detected printing apparatus as the source printing apparatus.

Shimakawa et al fails to teach a printing system, wherein said source apparatus specification unit comprises: a unit that detects a printing apparatus having a long queue of the print jobs based on the first information obtained by said first information acquisition unit, and specifies the detected printing apparatus as the source printing apparatus (a plurality of printing jobs requested by a plurality of other users are stored in the spool 225 so that the printing job for the particular user is in a waiting queue with a considerable time expected before start of execution,... printer 106 having a vacant spool 243) (col. 6, lines 3-15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer print/copy jobs to the slave copying machines for the purpose of load balancing of the jobs.

18. Regarding Claim 3, Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, said printing system further comprising: a selection unit that selects a printing apparatus having a sufficiently short queue of print jobs in the preset range of printing apparatuses, based on the first information obtained by said first information acquisition unit, wherein said job transfer unit sets the printing apparatus selected by said selection unit to a destination of the transfer of the print job.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, said printing system further comprising: a selection unit (Fig. 4, continued print processing section 204) (the printer first requested for printing, and an alternate printer) that selects a printing apparatus having a sufficiently short queue of print jobs in the preset range of printing apparatuses, based

on the first information obtained by said first information acquisition unit, wherein said job transfer unit sets the printing apparatus selected by said selection unit to a destination of the transfer of the print job (a plurality of printing jobs requested by a plurality of other users are stored in the spool 225 so that the printing job for the particular user is in a waiting queue with a considerable time expected before start of execution,... printer 106 having a vacant spool 243) (col. 6, lines 3-15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer print/copy jobs to the slave copying machines for the purpose of load balancing of the jobs.

19. Regarding Claim 6, Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, wherein each print job output from the information processing apparatus comprises first label data representing whether or not the print job is a possible candidate for the transfer by said job transfer unit, and said job transfer unit comprises a transfer prohibition unit that prohibits the transfer of a print job that has been determined not to be a possible candidate for the transfer based on the first label data.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, wherein each print job output from the information processing apparatus comprises first label data representing whether or not the print job is a possible candidate for the transfer by said job transfer unit, and said job transfer unit comprises a transfer prohibition unit that prohibits the transfer of a print job that has been determined not to be a possible candidate for the transfer based on the first label data (Fig. 5, S307, comparing user names) (col. 7, lines 56-62).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teaching of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to not transfer jobs to the slave copying machines if they cannot handle the print job.

20. Regarding Claim 7, Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, wherein each print job output from the information processing apparatus comprises second label data representing a priority order of printing by the spooling function, and said job transfer unit selects the at least one print job to be transferred, based on the second label data.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, wherein each print job output from the information processing apparatus comprises second label data representing a priority order of printing by the spooling function, and said job transfer unit selects the at least one print job to be transferred, based on the second label data (spool has such a queue structure so as to execute a plurality of stored printing jobs according to printing requests on a first-come first-served basis) (col. 6, lines 10-15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teaching of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer jobs to the slave copying machines depending on the priority of the print job.

21. Claims 10, 20 and 22 are rejected for the same reason as claim 2.

22. Regarding Claim 11, Kayano et al teach a printing system, further comprising: a target apparatus group specification unit that specifies a plurality of target apparatus groups as potential destinations of the transfer by said job transfer unit (copying

Art Unit: 2626

machines 1, 2, and 3); and an acquisition unit that obtains the first information in a range of the plurality of specified target apparatus groups (status information sending and receiving means 1J, 2J, and 3J) (col. 6, lines 46-60).

Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, further comprising: a selection unit that selects a printing apparatus having a sufficiently short queue of print jobs in the range of the plurality of specified target apparatus groups, based on the first information obtained by said acquisition unit, wherein said job transfer unit sets the printing apparatus selected by said selection unit to a destination of the transfer of the print job.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, further comprising: a selection unit that selects a printing apparatus having a sufficiently short queue of print jobs in the range of the plurality of specified target apparatus groups, based on the first information obtained by said acquisition unit, wherein said job transfer unit sets the printing apparatus selected by said selection unit to a destination of the transfer of the print job (a plurality of printing jobs requested by a plurality of other users are stored in the spool 225 so that the printing job for the particular user is in a waiting queue with a considerable time expected before start of execution,... printer 106 having a vacant spool 243) (col. 6, lines 3-15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer print/copy jobs to the slave copying machines for the purpose of load balancing of the jobs.

23. Claims 13 and 31 are rejected for the same reason as claim 11.

24. Regarding Claim 14, Kayano et al teach a printing system, further comprising: a target apparatus group specification unit that specifies a plurality of target apparatus groups as potential destinations of the transfer by said job transfer unit (copying machines 1, 2, and 3); and a detection unit that detects an available printing apparatus as a possible candidate for destination of the transfer by the job transfer unit in each of the plurality of target apparatus groups specified (status information sending and receiving means 1J, 2J, and 3J) (col. 6, lines 46-60).

Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, further comprising: a management unit that collects all the available printing apparatuses in the respective target apparatus groups detected by said detection unit and stores a result of the collection as management data; and a selection unit that selects one printing apparatus as a destination printing apparatus for the transfer by said job transfer unit, based on the management data.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, further comprising: a management unit that collects all the available printing apparatuses in the respective target apparatus groups detected by said detection unit and stores a result of the collection as management data (spool in the single print server is constructed to store the printing jobs for each network printer) (col. 4, lines 31-36); and a selection unit that selects one printing apparatus as a destination printing apparatus for the transfer by said job transfer unit, based on the management data (a plurality of printing jobs requested by a plurality of other users are stored in the spool 225 so that the printing job for the

particular user is in a waiting queue with a considerable time expected before start of execution,... printer 106 having a vacant spool 243) (col. 6, lines 3-15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer print/copy jobs to the slave copying machines for the purpose of load balancing of the jobs.

25. Regarding Claim 15, Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, wherein each of the plurality of target apparatus groups specified by said target apparatus group specification unit comprises said management unit, and specific data including at least the available printing apparatuses in the respective target apparatus groups detected by said detection unit are transmitted between the plurality of target apparatus groups specified by said target apparatus group specification unit, so that the total data is common to the plurality of target apparatus groups.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, wherein each of the plurality of target apparatus groups specified by said target apparatus group specification unit comprises said management unit (Fig. 4, spools 225 and 243), and specific data including at least the available printing apparatuses in the respective target apparatus groups detected by said detection unit are transmitted between the plurality of target apparatus groups specified by said target apparatus group specification unit, so that the total data is common to the plurality of target apparatus groups (spool in the single print server is constructed to store the printing jobs for each network printer (col. 4, lines 31-36).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer print/copy jobs to the slave copying machines for the purpose of load balancing of the jobs.

26. Regarding Claim 16, Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, wherein said management unit is provided separately from the plurality of target apparatus groups specified by said target apparatus group specification unit and is actualized by a computer connected to each target apparatus group via communication.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, wherein said management unit is provided separately from the plurality of target apparatus groups specified by said target apparatus group specification unit and is actualized by a computer connected to each target apparatus group via communication (spool in the single print server is constructed to store the printing jobs for each network printer (col. 4, lines 31-36).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer print/copy jobs to the slave copying machines for the purpose of load balancing of the jobs.

27. Regarding Claim 17, Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, wherein said each printing apparatus further comprises: a receiver unit that receives an external print job; an identification unit that carries out identification to determine whether or not the external print job received by said receiver unit has been sent via said job transfer unit;

and a processing change unit that changes over a series of processing to be executed, based on a result of the identification by said identification unit.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, wherein said each printing apparatus further comprises: a receiver unit that receives an external print job (Fig. 4, communication I/F section 222); an identification unit that carries out identification to determine whether or not the external print job received by said receiver unit has been sent via said job transfer unit (Fig. 4 continued print processing section 223) (Fig. 5, S304, 305); and a processing change unit that changes over a series of processing to be executed, based on a result of the identification by said identification unit (Fig. 5, S306, check access right based on user name).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teaching of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer jobs to the slave copying machines based on a user's identification/rights.

28. Regarding Claim 18, Kayano et al fail to teach a printing system, wherein said each printing apparatus further comprises: an authentication unit that authenticates a source of transmission of the print job, wherein said processing change unit comprises a unit that switches over a working status of said authentication unit between execution and non-execution.

Shimakawa et al teach a printing system, wherein said each printing apparatus further comprises: an authentication unit that authenticates a source of transmission of the print job, wherein said processing change unit comprises a unit that switches over a

working status of said authentication unit between execution and non-execution (Fig. 5, S307) (col. 7, lines 53-62).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teaching of Kayano with the teaching of Shimakawa to transfer jobs to the slave copying machines based on a user's identification/rights.

29. Claims 23, 27, 32, 34, and 36 are rejected for the same reason as claim 17.

30. Claims 24, 33, and 35 are rejected for the same reason as claim 18.

Conclusion

31. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ataka (US 5,689,755) discloses a distributed interconnected image forming system.

Love et al. (US 5,905,852) discloses a printer preparing print data for use by its resident print engine or by an alternate printer.

Tahara et al. (US 6,031,631) discloses one of a plurality of image processing apparatus connected to an image processing system freely sets image forming conditions.

Leong et al. (US 6,687,018) discloses a printer system for distributing print requests submitted by one or more clients.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satwant K. Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satwant Singh

sks

Satwant K. Singh
Examiner
Art Unit 2626

KAW Williams

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER